

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,497	04/11/2001	Robert S. Behl	16807001530	1252
20350 7	590 09/16/2002			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		KEARNEY, ROSILAND STACIE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/832,497	BEHL ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Rosiland S Kearney	3739
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she t with the	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<u>pril 2001</u> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
1	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
ł	Claim(s) <u>26-64</u> is/are pending in the application		
ļ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) <u>26-64</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.	
9) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
i	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept		niner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)[] T		is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		•
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign (	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) 15)⊡ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language provicknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application has been rece	ived.
Attachment(			
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/832,497

Art Unit: 3739

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 26-31 and 59-62, drawn to a method for necrosis, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 32-55, 57, 58, 63 and 64, drawn to a system for treating tissue, classified in class 607, subclass 099.
- III. Claim 56, drawn to a surface electrode, classified in class 606, subclass 041.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case another apparatus that does not include a probe, a plurality of electrodes and cover can practice the process.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the



Art Unit: 3739

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a support structure and 4 to 16 pin electrodes are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as an electrode.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I or II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I in Figures 2, 3-5, Species II in Figure 2a. Upon election of either Species I or Species II Applicant must further elect between the monopolar embodiment found in Figures 6a-c and the bipolar embodiment found in Figure 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 32 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/832,497

Art Unit: 3739

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Kearney whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/832,497

Art Unit: 3739

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/3080758 for regular communications and 703/3080758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/3080858.

RK September 12, 2002

ROSHLAND S. KEARNEY